

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

SUN PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LIMITED,
SHRIVASTAVA Ratnesh
Acme Plaza
Andheri East, Andheri Kurla Road,
400059 Mumbai
India

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION

(PCT Rule 66)

Applicant's or agent's file reference MTX_102		Date of mailing (day/month/year) 30 August 2004 (30.08.2004)
International application No. PCT/IN 2003/000294		REPLY DUE within 2 months/days from the above date of mailing
International filing date (day/month/year) 2 September 2003 (02.09.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year) 2 September 2002 (02.09.2002)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC ⁷ : A61K 31/421, 9/14, 45/06		
Applicant SUN PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LIMITED		

- This written opinion is the first (first, etc.) drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority.
- This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:
 - ☒ Basis of the opinion
 - ☐ Priority
 - ☒ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
 - ☐ Lack of unity of invention
 - ☒ Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
 - ☒ Certain documents cited
 - ☒ Certain defects in the international application
 - ☐ Certain observations on the international application
- The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.

When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d).

How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.

Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4.
For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis.
For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.

If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.
- The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 02.01.2005.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AT Austrian Patent Office Dresdner Straße 87, A-1200 Vienna	Authorized officer KRENN M.
Facsimile No. 1/53424/200	Telephone No. 1/53424/435

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/IN 2003/000294

I. Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*

☒ the international application as originally filed

☐ the description:

pages , as originally filed

pages , filed with the demand

pages , filed with the letter of

☐ the claims:

pages , as originally filed

pages , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19

pages , filed with the demand

pages , filed with the letter of

☐ the drawings:

pages , as originally filed

pages , filed with the demand

pages , filed with the letter of

☐ the sequence listing part of the description:

pages , as originally filed

pages , filed with the demand

pages , filed with the letter of

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language _____ which is:

☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).

☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).

☐ the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:

☐ contained in the international application in printed form.

☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.

☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.

☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

☐ the description, pages

☐ the claims, Nos.

☐ the drawings, sheets/fig

5. ☐ This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).

* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as „originally filed“.

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/IN 2003/000294

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

☐ the entire international application,

☒ claims Nos. 19-22,25,26.

because:

☐ the said international application, or the said claims Nos.
require an international preliminary examination (*specify*):

relate to the following subject matter which does not

☒ the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. 19-22,25,26 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):
Characterization of pharmaceutical dosage forms by their modes of administration is insufficient; thus claims 19-22 resp. the dependent claims 25 and 26 were not considered in establishing the present examination.

☐ the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.

☐ no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.

2. A written opinion cannot be drawn due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:

☐ the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.

☐ the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.
PCT/IN 2003/000294

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
I. Statement	Novelty (N)	Claims 8-14	YES
		Claims 1-7,15-18,23,24	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims ----	YES
		Claims 1-18,23,24	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-18,23,24	YES
		Claims ----	NO
Citations and explanations			
<p>The following documents have been cited in the Search Report:</p> <p>D1: US 4036957 A D2: WO 02/45693 A1 D3: DE 10153078 A1 D4: US 6407128 B1</p> <p>By referring to a pharmaceutical preparation comprising acetylsalicylic acid, metaxalone and opt. a dispersing (= solubilizing) agent or a wetting agent, wherein the components of said (micronized) preparation provide a particle size < 0.07 mm, D1 anticipates claims 1-7, 15-17,23 and 24.</p> <p>D2 pertains to a micronized active agent, e.g. metaxalone providing a preferred particle size from less than 100µm, which is uniformly dispersed in a matrix composed of one or more excipients selected from the group of fatty alcohol, triglyceride, partial glyceride and fatty acid ester, which might act as solubilizing agents. Such pharmaceutical preparations represent compositions with enhanced oral bioavailability; thus D2 anticipates claims 1-7 and 15-18.</p> <p>Although D2 does not refer to metaxalone providing either the specific surface area or the particle size distribution described in the present application, inventiveness of claims 1-18 is not given, because D2 describes a particle size of metaxalone up to 1-20µm, which are inevitably associated with a elevated surface area per unit volume.</p> <p>As none of the cited documents explicitly refers to the details described in claims 8-14, said claims show novelty.</p> <p>After filing of the priority document D3 is not anymore considered to be a relevant document.</p>			

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.
PCT/IN 03/00294

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Box V (page 1)

D4 is regarded as state of the art, because it does not disclose a solubility-improved form, but a recommendation to administer metaxalone together with food.

Industrial applicability is given

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.
PCT/IN 2003/000294

VI. Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

<u>Application No. Patent No.</u>	<u>Publication date (day/month/year)</u>	<u>Filing date (day/month/year)</u>	<u>Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)</u>
DE 10153078 A1	22.5.2003	30.10.2001	

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

<u>Kind of non-written disclosure</u>	<u>Date of non-written disclosure (day/month/year)</u>	<u>Date of written disclosure referring to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)</u>

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.
PCT/IN 2003/000294

VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

The characterizing parts of claims 1,2,5 and 8 were not considered in establishing the present report, because they include insufficiently defined formulations, namely "...pharmaceutical composition has enhanced oral bioavailability." (claims 1,8), "...a pharmaceutically acceptable solubility-improved form." (claim 2) and "...high-energy crystalline form of metaxalone." (claim 5).